



CODE OF ETHICS OF HEART OF EUROPE DEBATING TOURNAMENT

Adapted from World Schools Debating Championships (www.schoolsdebate.com).

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE OF THE CODE OF ETHICS

The Heart of Europe Debating Tournament (HOE/HOEDT) brings together participants from around the world to compete in a short, intensive debating event. Normally the participants stay together in one host venue, engage in debates at several different locations in Olomouc during the event, and attend a variety of tours or social events together.

In this environment, it is very important that all the participants have a common understanding of the standards of behaviour expected of them in order to maintain a safe and enjoyable event for everyone involved. The purpose of this Code of Ethics is thus to help maintain a pleasant, safe and healthy environment for all participants in each Heart of Europe Debating Tournament.

1.2 TO WHOM DOES THE CODE OF ETHICS APPLY?

This Code of Ethics shall apply to the following participants in the Tournament:

- (a) Debaters
- (b) Team Coaches
- (c) Team Managers
- (d) Judges
- (e) Registered observers
- (f) Individuals assigned other roles by the Organising Committee of Heart of Europe DT

1.3 ALL PARTICIPANTS MUST AGREE TO ABIDE BY THIS CODE OF ETHICS

(a) Before the start of each HOE Debating Tournament, all participants shall sign the undertaking at the conclusion of this Code of Ethics that they will abide by this Code of Ethics for the duration of the tournament.



(b) At least one parent or guardian of every debater attending the Tournament shall also sign the undertaking.

(c) No participant shall be allowed to be involved in the HOE Debating Tournament unless:

(i) he/she has signed such an undertaking

(ii) in the case of a participant who is a debater, one parent or guardian has not signed the undertaking.

1.4 WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ENSURING COMPLIANCE WITH THE CODE OF ETHICS DURING THE TOURNAMENT?

(a) All participants shall ensure that they comply with the Code of Ethics for the duration of the Tournament.

(b) In addition, each delegation which participates at Heart of Europe Debating Tournament appoint a Coach, an Judge or a registered observer to serve as the Team Manager who shall be responsible for ensuring that the debaters comply with the Code of Ethics for the duration of the HOE Debating Tournament.

(c) The Team Manager shall:

(i) attend the HOE Debating Tournament; and

(ii) be at least 18 years of age; and

(iii) be deemed legally an adult or have attained the age of majority in both the host nation and in the nation the team represents.

(d) No team of debaters shall be allowed to participate at Heart of Europe Debating Tournament unless such a person is appointed.

1.5 WHAT DO THE TERMS IN THIS CODE OF ETHICS MEAN?

Most of the terms used in this Code of Ethics should be self-explanatory. However, to be clear:

(a) **"Age of majority"** means the age at which an individual becomes an adult as specified by either the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child or the legislation of the host nation, whichever is the older age

(b) **"Debating Tournament"** means the open tournament for high school students in which the people listed in paragraph 1.2 are participating

(c) **"complainant"** means a person who has complained about a breach of the Code of Ethics

(d) **"host"** means the Organising Committee of the Heart of Europe Debating Tournament

(e) **"laws of the host country"** include the law of the Czech Republic and Olomouc region in which the Heart of Europe Debating Tournament is held.



(f) **“sexual harassment”** means any unwanted, unwelcome or uninvited behaviour of a sexual nature which makes a person feel humiliated, intimidated or offended. Sexual harassment can take on many different forms and may include physical contact, verbal comments, jokes, propositions, the display of offensive material or other behaviour which creates a sexually hostile environment. Examples of sexual harassment include but are not limited to uninvited touching, smutty jokes or comments, sex-based insults, repeated invitations to go out after prior refusal, persistent insinuations about a person’s private life. Sexual harassment is not behaviour which is consensual, welcome and reciprocated but for the purposes of the HOE Debating Tournament does also include behaviour specified in paragraph 2.4.

(g) **“start of the Heart of Debating Tournament”** means the commencement of the first formal event or function of the Debating Tournament

THE CODE OF ETHICS

2. WHAT IS EXPECTED OF PARTICIPANTS?

2.1 WHAT PARTICIPANTS MUST DO

During the Tournament, all participants must:

- (a) behave in a respectful and courteous manner towards other participants in the event, guests and sponsors of the Debating Tournament, and members of the public attending Debating Tournament events
- (b) abide by the laws of the Czech Republic and Olomouc Region
- (c) abide by any rules, guidelines and restrictions set by the host organising committee of HOE Debating Tournament or owner of any facility to keep the event regulated and ensure the safety of participants.

2.2 WHAT PARTICIPANTS MUST NOT DO

During the Tournaments, participants must not:

- (a) make insulting comments, jokes, insults, or insinuations about another person’s culture, race, religion, gender or sexual orientation or which may be construed as being derogatory or as harassment, whether in the presence of that person or in any other forum in which the person may not be present.
- (b) stalk or physically harass another individual
- (c) engage in any form of violence or threats of violence
- (d) engage in any form of sexual harassment [as defined in paragraphs 1.5 (f) and 2.4]
- (e) take or use other people’s property without permission
- (f) intentionally cause damage to the property of other individuals or of any host venues
- (g) consume any substance which they are not legally entitled to consume in the Czech Republic, or supply any such substances to others



(h) consume or be under the influence of alcohol or drugs in a way which may bring the Heart of Europe Debating Tournament into disrepute.

2.3 BEHAVIOUR DURING DEBATES

(a) Participants in the tournament, especially Coaches and debaters, must not confront Judges in an aggressive manner after a debate.

(b) Feedback between teams and Judges must be given and received in a constructive and non-confrontational manner.

2.4 RELATIONSHIPS

Any romantic or sexually-based relationship during the tournament between a debater and an adult acting as a Coach, Judge, shadow Judge, Team Manager, observer or in any other capacity will not be tolerated and shall be treated as sexual harassment regardless of intention or apparent consent.

ENFORCEMENT OF THE CODE OF ETHICS

3. WHAT HAPPENS IF A PARTICIPANT BREACHES THE CODE OF ETHICS?

3.1 BREACHES CAN BE REPORTED TO A COMPLAINTS OFFICER

If a participant believes that another participant has breached this Code of Ethics, s/he may report the breach to an appointed Complaints Officer.

3.2 WHO ARE THE COMPLAINTS OFFICERS?

Before each tournament, the Chief Organiser shall nominate two Complaints Officers – one male and one female. The nominations for Complaints Officers must be approved by:

- (a) the Executive Board of organising organisation, or
- (b) by the Organising Committee of Heart of Europe Debating Tournament at least 30 days before the tournament begins, if the nomination was not approved at the previous meeting of the Executive Board of organising organisation.

3.3 WHAT WILL THE COMPLAINTS OFFICERS DO?

Each Complaints Officer shall be responsible for:

- (a) being available to participants to receive complaints about breaches of this Code of Ethics
- (b) investigating complaints
- (c) supporting the complainant appropriately, which could include referring him/her to:
 - (i) a counsellor
 - (ii) a doctor
 - (iii) a lawyer
 - (iv) the police
 - (v) their parents
 - (vi) an adult member of their family or contingent.



3.4 COMPLAINTS OFFICERS CAN DEAL WITH SOME COMPLAINTS THEMSELVES

If the Complaints Officer considers it appropriate, they may discuss the complaint with the complainant and the person about whom the complaint has been made to try to resolve the matter by mediation so that both parties are satisfied with the outcome of the matter.

3.5 COMPLAINTS OFFICERS CAN REFER COMPLAINTS TO THE APPELLATE COMMITTEE

(a) A Complaints Officer may refer a complaint to the Appellate Committee. Such a referral must be submitted in writing to the Chief Organiser of the Debating Tournament, who is Chairperson of the Appellate Committee.

(b) Complaints of the following nature must be referred to the Appellate Committee:

- (i) any form of violence or threat of violence
- (ii) sexual harassment
- (iii) stalking or physical harassment
- (iv) the consumption or supply of substances which are illegal in the host country
- (v) a participant's being under the influence of alcohol or drugs in a manner which has brought or threatens to bring the Debating Tournament into disrepute
- (vi) any form of inappropriate relationship between a debater and any other participant
 - (vii) wilful damage to public property or the property of a host venue.

4. WHAT HAPPENS IF A COMPLAINT IS REFERRED TO THE APPELLATE COMMITTEE?

4.1 WHO FORMS THE APPELLATE COMMITTEE?

- (a) Every Heart of Europe Debating Tournament shall have a Appellate Committee comprising
- (i) at least three members; and
 - (ii) at least one person of each gender; and
 - (iii) at least one but no more than two members from the host nation; and
- (iv) neither of the Complaints Officers who have been approved for the same Debating Tournament as the Appellate Committee.
- (b) The members of the Appellate Committee shall be approved by:
- (i) the Executive Board of organising organisation, or
 - (ii) by the Organising Committee at least 30 days before the Debating Tournament begins if the nomination was not approved at the previous meeting of the Executive Board of organising organisation.
- (c) The Chairperson of Appellate Committee is Chief Organiser of the Debating Tournament.
- (d) Subject to 4.1 (b), each member of the Appellate Committee shall serve until such time as a replacement is appointed.



4.2 WHEN MUST THE APPELLATE COMMITTEE MEET?

- (a) The Appellate Committee's Chairperson shall convene a committee meeting:
- (i) if a Complaints Officer refers a complaint to them in writing
 - (ii) if the Appellate Committee otherwise considers it appropriate.
- (b) The Appellate Committee shall convene a meeting within 24 hours of receiving a complaint in accordance with 4.2 (a) above.

4.3 WHAT CAN THE APPELLATE COMMITTEE DO?

- (a) At a meeting to discuss a complaint, the Appellate Committee may:
- (i) decide to take no further action
 - (ii) make a decision about the complaint without a hearing (but it may not suspend or expel the person complained about without a hearing) or
 - (iii) hold a hearing about the complaint.
 - (b) Regardless of which option it takes, the Appellate Committee may also refer the complaint to the host country's relevant authorities (such as the police) if it considers that this is appropriate.

4.4 WHAT ARE THE RIGHTS OF A PERSON COMPLAINED ABOUT AT A HEARING?

If the Appellate Committee holds a hearing, the person complained about must:

- (a) be told what the complaint is about
- (b) be told the date and time of the hearing
- (c) be allowed to participate in the hearing and to be heard
- (d) be allowed to bring a person of their choice with them to the meeting with whom s/he may confer before and during the hearing
- (e) be allowed to remain silent during the hearing if s/he so chooses
- (f) be allowed not to attend the hearing if s/he so chooses.

4.5 A PERSON COMPLAINED ABOUT WHO IS NOT LEGALLY AN ADULT IN THE HOST COUNTRY SHALL HAVE SPECIAL PROTECTION AND ASSISTANCE

- (a) If the Appellate Committee holds a hearing and the person complained about is not legally an adult in the host country, the Appellate Committee shall:
- (i) ensure that the person complained about fully understands his/her rights as set out in paragraph 4.4 above before the hearing begins
 - (ii) ensure that an adult chosen by the person complained about attends the hearing to support the person complained
 - (iii) if the person complained about is unable to choose an adult to attend with him or her, select an adult to take on this role.
- (b) The adult chosen in accordance with paragraph 4.5(a) shall attend the hearing and shall be able to speak on behalf of the person complained about at the hearing.



(b) Disclosure of the Appellate Committee's written decision is required by the law which applies in the home nation of the person complained about and/or in the host nation in which the complaint arose.

6 WHAT ARE THE RIGHTS OF A PERSON WHO IS SUSPENDED OR EXPELLED?

6.1 A YOUNG PERSON MUST BE LOOKED AFTER

Where the Appellate Committee decision has led to a suspension or expulsion and the person suspended or expelled is not legally an adult in the host country, the Appellate Committee, in conjunction with the Chief Organiser, shall take all reasonable steps to ensure that the person suspended or expelled is able to make satisfactory arrangements for:

(a) accommodation and meals for the duration of his/her suspension or until he/she is able to return to his/her home country

(b) returning to his/her home country if he/she has been expelled from the Debating Tournament.

6.2 WHERE A PERSON HAS BEEN EXPELLED FOR LONGER THAN THE NEXT DEBATING TOURNAMENT

(a) Where the Appellate Committee's decision has led to an expulsion and the person complained about has been expelled for longer than the next Debating Tournament, the person complained about may, after the conclusion of the following Debating Tournament, apply in writing to the Chief Organiser of the Heart of Europe Debating Tournament to be readmitted as a participant at future Debating Tournament.

(b) If the Chief Organiser of the Heart of Europe Debating Tournament receives an application by such a person to be readmitted ("the applicant"):

(i) the application shall be forwarded to the Chairperson of the Appellate Committee as soon as possible

(ii) the Chairperson of the Appellate Committee shall discuss the application with the other members of the Appellate Committee, and the Appellate Committee shall make a decision whether to grant or decline the application within 30 days of receiving the application.

6.3 WHAT IS THE PROCESS FOR A HEARING ON THE APPLICATION FOR READMITTANCE?

The process for a hearing on the application is:

(a) the Appellate Committee shall either hold a hearing in person (if practical), or shall discuss the issue by telephone, video link, e-mail or in writing, depending on constraints of cost, time and the distance between the parties

(b) the person applying for reinstatement ("the applicant") shall be invited to submit statements in writing in support of the application to be readmitted, and may additionally be asked to submit answers to specific questions by the Appellate Committee

(c) the Appellate Committee shall determine who, apart from the applicant the applicant and such persons as the applicant may decide, may be asked to submit written statements regarding the applicant. These may include:

(i) referees about the applicant's character or change of circumstances

(ii) the Complaints Officer who received the original complaint

(iii) the original complainant



(iv) a current Complaints Officer.

(d) the Appellate Committee shall inform itself at a hearing about the application and generally as to evidence and facts in its absolute discretion and as it sees fit, subject to this Code of Ethics.

6.4 HEARINGS ABOUT THE APPLICATION ARE CONFIDENTIAL

Until the Appellate Committee makes a decision about the application for readmittance, details of the application and the hearing shall be kept confidential and shall be discussed only with the people participating in the hearing.

6.5 WHAT MUST THE APPELLATE COMMITTEE DO TO DECIDE ON THE APPLICATION?

(a) The Appellate Committee must make a decision about the application by:

- (i) declining the application, or
- (ii) readmitting the applicant subject to any conditions the committee thinks fit, or
- (iii) readmitting the applicant without any conditions.

(b) After making a decision about the application, the Appellate Committee must send a written copy of its decision to:

- (i) the applicant
- (ii) the current Complaints Officers

(iii) the Heart of Europe Debating Tournament Organising Committee, through Chief Organiser

(iv) the Chief Organiser and Chief Judge of the following Debating Tournament

(v) the person who made the original complaint about the applicant.

6.6 LIMITATION ON DISCLOSING APPELLATE COMMITTEE DECISION ABOUT THE APPLICATION

The written decision of the Appellate Committee shall not be disclosed, published, produced, copied, or otherwise communicated to people other than those specified in paragraph 6.5 unless:

(a) The applicant agrees; or

(b) Disclosure of the Appellate Committee's written decision is required by the law which applies in the home nation of the applicant and/or in the host nation in which the breach of this Code of Ethics occurred.

6.7 THE APPELLATE COMMITTEE'S DECISION ABOUT THE APPLICATION IS FINAL

The Appellate Committee's decision on the application shall be final. The applicant is not able to appeal it but s/he can apply again for readmittance or for the removal of any conditions imposed on readmittance 6 months or more following the decision on the application. The new application must also be made according to paragraph 6.



UNDERTAKING

7. UNDERTAKING REQUIRED TO BE SIGNED PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF THE HEART OF EUROPE DEBATING TOURNAMENT

Undertaking by all those participating in the Heart of Europe Debating Tournament

I _____ undertake that:

(a) I have read and understood the Code of Ethics above and

(b) I will abide by the Code of Ethics for the duration of the _____ Heart of Europe Debating Tournament

Signed Dated

Undertaking by parent or guardian of debater(s) participating in the Heart of Europe Debating Tournament

I _____ undertake that:

(a) I am a parent/guardian of _____ who is a debater participating in the _____ Heart of Europe Debating Tournament

(b) I have read and understood the Code of Ethics above



(c) I have explained the Code of Ethics to the above debater

(d) I have instructed the above debater that I expect and require the debater to abide by the Code of Ethics

(e) the debater has confirmed to me that s/he will abide by the Code of Ethics.

Signed: Dated:

Approved by: Dated: